February 12, 2007

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS United States Patents and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA-22313-1450 U.S.A.

Attention : MS. ANDREA SMITH

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

Re : Patent Applications

NOS: 10/808,371 - 10/8091,691 - 10/812,018

Docket Nos: 102901-102902-104148

Dear Sir,

I thank you most kindly for sending me copies of your approval on the petitions filed by Messrs. Oliff and Berridge, Plc., to withdraw their representation from my above-mentioned patent pending applications; for being presumptive that I have not been paying my fees for their legal services which is unjustifiable taking into consideration the available record of my bank remittances paid into their account.

In view of my predicament and the necessity for me to reply to the Examiner's Action Mailed August 15, 2006 regarding-my patent application No. 10/808,371, Docket No. 102901; may I kindly petition your office to allow me to seek an extension of time on my deadline to answer patent examiner's action due on February 15, 2007. In time for me to engage the services of a new patent lawyer to prepare my answer. In my vivid recollection, I have submitted all my answers to the Patent Examiner's Action dated (8-15-2006) on my patent application no. 101808,371 but sadly; my former patent lawyers were so engross in believing that I am a delinquent client; they did not submit my answer.

Herewith, attached, is a letter dated 11-15-2006 from Messrs. Oliff and Berridge, Plc., marked as "ANNEX-A" and my letter to them dated 2/12-2007; marked as "ANNEX-B" which are self-explanatory.

May I reiterate my petition for an extension of time to file my answer thru my new patent lawyer. Further please advise me as to where I can remit my fees and how much and in what manner or perhaps I can direct my payments into the bank account of the United States Patents and Trademarks Office in your area.

Your humane and kind understanding is solicited in this very critical situation.

Meantime, I wish you all more power and success.

Very Respectfully Yours,

VON FRIEDRICH PATERRO 6751 Ayala Avenue, Makati City Matusco 23-B Philippines 1226

Telephone: (832) 844-3404 Telefax: (832) 816-16-48

ANNEX-A

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

November 15, 2006

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400 FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787 E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM

By Facsimile

CONFIRMATION

COPY

Mr. Von Friedrich C. Paterro 23-B Makati Tuscany 6751 Ayala Avenue Makati City ZC-1226 Philippines

Re:

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/808,371

Inventor: Von Friedrich C. PATERRO

Our Ref.: 102901

Your Ref.: QUANTUM JET TURBINE PROPULSION SYSTEM

Dear Von Friedrich Paterro:

As indicated in our November 7 letter (to which you have not responded), we are taking no further action in connection with any of your matters until the terms of our November 7 letter have been met, including payment in full of your long outstanding account and advance payment of additional fees and expenses to be incurred. Having not received payment, we are docketing this application for a first, one month extension of time. The new extendible period for reply expires December 15, 2006.

Very truly yours,

James A. Oliff

JAO:SPC/jnm

February 2, 2007

OLIFF AND BERRIDGE, PLC. 277 South Washington St. Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Attention : Mr. James A. Oliff

Re: Patent Application Nos.

101812, 018 - 101809.691 - 101808.371

Docket Nos.

104148-102901-102902

Gentlemen,

Thank you very much for your fax messages of December 6 & 15; 2006 but received at a later date in the mine site. Reply at a much earlier time was not possible due my (files) concerning the above matter were in Manila. However, arrangements were made to forward my patent application documents in the mine site.

Your petition to withdraw your services in prosecuting the above pending patent applications with the United States Patent and Trademark Office dated December 6, 2006 and approved on December 21, 2006; on the grounds of my non-payment of accounts payable with your office is most unprincipled and very perturbable. You succeeded in destroying my character with the Patent Office capitalizing on your self-made and unfounded claims. It is most impudent to have bastardized my reputation against highly immoral assertions, deprived of any truth.

In retrospect; kindly review the following with an open mind and with exalting caution to adhere only to the truth for everything mentioned herein has a documentary evidence.

- 1. That you asked me to sign a "RETAINER" agreement with you. Though, in all honesty; the wordings can easily be abused by a patent lawyer; yet my trust and confidence in the U.S. Laws is unquestionably high such that its jurisprudence specifically on contracts is founded on fairness and justice between two parties. As the saying goes "a one sided contract does not a contract make".
- That I engaged your services for my first patent application under your docket KW-48019; now U.S. Patent No. 6, 290, 184 BI; Flying Craft with Water and Air Propulsion source. Date of patent issued September 18, 2001.

- 3. That while you were working on my first patent application I engaged your services to my second (2nd) patent application Docket No. KM 10220; now U.S. Patent No. 6, 367, 739 BI known as the "Compound Exhaust System". Date of patent issued April 19, 2002
- 4. That while you were still working on the two above-mentioned patents; and that sometime, (Year 200) I informed you about my three (new) patent applications Dockets No. 102901, 102902, 104148; which are the subject matter of your disreputable complaints.
- 5. That I remitted U\$ 3,700.00 advance retainer fee for my first patent application; per your requirement of U.S. 3, 500.00 advance, retainer fee. (your letter on file).
- 6. That sometime during the year 2001; I forwarded the original copies of my three (3) new patent applications Docket 102901 102902 104148 thru (Fedex) receipt copy on file.
- 7. That soon after having reviewed my three (3) new patent applications; you responded that you will undertake the processing of the three patent applications quoting US \$ 7,000.00 per application as advance retainer fee (copy of your letter on file).
- 8. That sometime in 2001, you informed me that my second patent application under Docket No. 102200; was on its final stages to patent evaluation.
- That sometime in 2001–I remitted the amount of US \$ 25,000; wherein US \$ 3,500.00 be paid as advance retainer of Docket 102200 and the balance applied as advance retainer to my three (3) patent applications. Three (3) x US \$ 7,000.00 = US \$ 21,500.00 with US 500.00 balance on deposit.
- 10.That sometime October 2001,I remitted the amount of US \$ 10,000.00 to further augment my account or deposit.
- 11. That from the year 2001 up to the year 2004; thereabout; your office did nothing whatsoever on my three (3) new patent applications, until sometime 2004 I called your attention with a strong reminder that it is most unfair for you to have received my advance payments and kept my (3) patent applications dormant.... (copy of the letter on file).

- 12. That for that period of time from year 2001 up to the 3rd quarter of 2004; more or less that my three (3) inventions were kept frozen in your office inspite of the fact that your retainers fee was paid in advance; and also deplorable considering that you are patent lawyers who has knowledge that an invention has to be patented at the earliest possible time after conception, or face the possibility of someone else with a similar idea obtaining an earlier patent.
- 13. That your office Oliff and Begride, PLC., received payments from me supposedly in exchange for your services to prosecute my three (3) new patent applications; willfully withhold my payments without rendering any iota of work on any of my three (3) new patent applications. For three years more or less (your letter of admission on file).
- 14. That being professionals and patent lawyers at that; the most respectable deed that you could have done was to refund in full all my advance retainer's fee so that my ideas to be patented could not have been in jeopardy.
- 15. That I really have expected you to have done the most logical act of returning my money for services un-rendered.
- 16. That you practically left me with no choice, after all, my three (3) new patent applications were in your hands for three (3) years, more or less. Any inventor would always want to protect his inventive ideas, Having disclosed to you everything, placed me in a very disadvantageous position.
- 17. That your <u>auditors</u> and <u>accountants have erroneously</u> and <u>continuously billed my first patent</u> 6, 290, 184 BI under Docket # 48019 as well as my second patent no.: 6, 367, 739 BI under Docket # 102200 up to the years 2005 2006 for various services when in truth and in fact both patents; did not require any further service except for the payment of 1st maintenance fees which I remitted US \$ 1,894.00 with instructions to pay the maintenance fees for both patents of which I have not received any official receipts from the patent office regarding my payments showing how much have I paid as well as how much did I pay you to forward my maintenance fees.
- 18. That on record, it will show that there were several instances that you were trying to convince me to have both patents Docket 48019 / docket 102200, covered by international patent plus your eagerness to modify my 2nd patent for typographical errors; for which I declined in allowing you to proceed. This maybe, is one of the reasons why your auditors and accountants billed me ever continuously as services rendered for my two issued patents which

does not need any further services except paying its maintenance fees.

- 19. That it is of record that from the time you: finally rendered your services on my three (3) New patent applications subject of this dispute during the 3rd quarter of (2004) up to (2006), as evidenced by your numerous letters of apologies (on file) caused my three (3) patent applications almost/always to become void because of failure to meet requirements in replying to patent examiners actions. This did not happen once but several times on all the three (3) pending patent applications specifically patent application docket no 102901; which requires an answer to patent examiners actions due on February 15, 2007; but received by your office August of 2006 and only transmitted to me sometime 1st week of December 2006. The most classic and tragic example of the several lapses that your office committed is the attached letter wherein a patent examiner gave me up to certain month and date to reply wherein you? faxed to me the notice on its final day.
- 20. That the degree and /or level of services you have rendered on my three (3) patent applications <u>todate</u> is not, commensurate to the advance retainer's fee you have collected based on the present status of my three (3) patent applications.
- 21. That I have remitted the following amounts to you as substantiated by bank remittance receipts; to cover full payment for my Five (5) patent applications. Granting without admitting that at a high of US \$ 11,000.00 per patent x 5 patent US \$ 55,000.00. What standard of billing are you subjecting this poor, helpless and small individual inventor.
 - 1. US \$ 3,700.00
 - 2. US \$ 10,000.00
 - 3. US \$ 25,000.00
 - 4. US \$ 1, 894.00
 - 5. US \$ 12,000.00

Grand Total US \$ 52, 594.00

- 22. That you have taken advantage of my situation knowing fully well that my nature of work takes me out in the mountain areas, eleven (11) months a year, sometimes more being a mining consultant. Every single dollar that I make is a product of extreme sacrifice, human sacrifice, just so that I can save to pay your services believing that I am investing in a worthy undertaking with the aim to enhance my "Hope and Opportunities" in this world of principled men.
- 23. That I have made known to you several vital scientific data in relation to patent application nos. 101812, 018, / 101809,691 and

101808, 371, which were not incorporated in your reply to the patent examiners actions – during the later part of 2005 and early 2006.

- 24. That I demand you submit all my disclosures to the United Sates patent and trademark office re- my three (3) patent pending applications, which you obtained from me sometime in the year 2005 and 2006;
- 25. That in the event; one or all of my pending patent applications be considered <u>abandoned</u> by the patent office as a result of your highly <u>un-acceptable practice resulting from your erroneous and excessive billings of my account;</u>
- 26.Then, I will be forced to sue you and your associates for moral, exemplary and actual damages to the fullest extent of all the statutes of the United States of America, and to petition the United States Supreme Court to have you and your associates disbarred from the practice of law for professional misconduct and improper practice.

Very truly yours,

VON FRIEDRICH PATERRO 6751 Ayala Avenue, Makati City MATUSCO 23-B

Philippines 1226

Cc: United Sates Patents and Trademark Office